


Evaluation – 2nd Short-Term Exchange Meeting

Patras- Greece – April 2016




SUMMARY OF RESPONSES

Sex




Female	4	44.4%
Male	5	55.6%

Age



<30	0	0%
30-40	2	22.2%
40-50	6	66.7%
>50	1	11.1%

Nationality




Belgian	2	22.2%
German	2	22.2%
Greek	3	33.3%
Spanish	2	22.2%

Function



Teacher	8	88.9%
Headmaster / Deputy head	1	11.1%
other	0	0%


Involvement



Organizer	3	33.3%
Participant	6	66.7%


Before the project

The pre-materials/information for the meeting were sent with enough time in advance



Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	1	11.1%
Totally agree: 4	8	88.9%

Did you know in advance WHERE you had to deliver each of the proposed assignments?



no, the information was not clear: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	33.3%
yes, the information was clear: 4	6	66.7%

If the information was not so clear (1 or 2), please comment which aspects must be improved for next meetings.


Everything was clear to me.

Did you know in advance WHEN you had to deliver each of the proposed assignments?




If the information was not so clear (1 or 2), please comment which aspects must be improved for next meetings.


The pre-materials/information for the meeting were understandable



The pre-materials/information for the meeting were useful




The structure has been made clear before the meeting




Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	4	44.4%
Totally Agree: 4	5	55.6%

The contents have been made clear before the meeting




Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	33.3%
Totally Agree: 4	6	66.7%

The subjects have been made clear before the meeting




Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	22.2%
Totally Agree: 4	7	77.8%

Did the students have enough time to do all the assigned work?



If they haven't had enough time (1 or 2), please comment which aspects must be improved for next meetings.

How well did the organizer or coordinator respond to questions, comments or doubts during the preparation of the meeting?




In case of disagree (1 or 2) please comment which aspects must be improved for next meetings

Did you think there was any missing information before the meeting was held? Please answer below


- no
- No
- I think we had all the information we needed
- No!
- nothing fundamental, hardly anything
- I think that we made every possible effort so that all the information needed would be clear to everyone before the meeting.
- I have been well informed by my colleagues.

Meeting performance

The visits/activities were interesting for the project




The visits/activities were appropriate for the pupils skill levels




In case of disagree (1 or 2) please comment which aspects must be improved for next meetings

The visits/activities have been well organized




Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
Totally agree: 4	9	100%

How well did we stay on the agenda?



Not well at all: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
Very well: 4	9	100%

The problems that may have occurred were totally solved




Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	22.2%
Totally agree: 4	7	77.8%

In case of disagree (1 or 2) please comment which problem must be solved in future meetings

There where no problems

Which two/three activities were the most effective for the students?




Please comment your answer and any other further information that you think is necessary to be analyzed

I think that at least the activities I was present work very well.

The debate IN ENGLISH was an essential experience for the pupils. They realized how well they can communicate in English. Messalongi was a new experience and very informative.

During the debate it was interesting for our pupils to see how high the level of English of the foreign pupils was. Although I have not taken part in the water experiment I always think that scientific approaches to environmental topics like water use are very helpful and educational.


Which visits were the most engaging for the students?



Please comment your answer and any other further information that you think is necessary to be analyzed

Both are world-famous sights which pupils really enjoyed.


How well did the students participate/perform during all activities? Evaluate your own students



1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%
6	0	0%
7	1	11.1%
8	5	55.6%
9	3	33.3%
10	0	0%


Post meeting activities & outcomes

How useful did you find the activity chart using RAG status colour as a tracking system?




No useful at all: 1	0	0%
2	1	11.1%
3	3	33.3%
Very useful: 4	5	55.6%

How approachable and organized is the information in the website?




not approachable at all: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	33.3%
Extremely approachable: 4	6	66.7%

Submission of the assignments: Time/Schedule




needed more time: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	3	33.3%
time was enough: 4	6	66.7%

Roles on assignments: Distribution & Equity




not balanced at all: 1	0	0%
2	1	11.1%
3	3	33.3%
well distributed: 4	5	55.6%

The cooperation helped foreign language development for teachers and students




The level of language is the same: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	22.2%
The level has greatly improved: 4	7	77.8%

The work of the project helped introduce an appreciation of the Greek culture



Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	2	22.2%
Totally agree: 4	7	77.8%


The participation and communication among teachers and students during the project has been satisfying



Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
Totally agree: 4	9	100%

In case of disagree (1 or 2) please comment which aspects must be improved


Teachers involved in the meeting became more experienced in using ICT



Disagree: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	5	55.6%
Totally agree: 4	4	44.4%

In case of disagree (1 or 2) please comment which aspects must be improved

Teachers and students note a change of habits after the meeting thanks to the activities done there




There is no change: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	6	66.7%
There is a lot of change: 4	3	33.3%

In case of disagree (1 or 2) please comment which aspects must be improved

Overall evaluation

Overall opinion of our 2d meeting in Patras (Greece)



Bad: 1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%
6	0	0%
7	0	0%
8	0	0%
9	5	55.6%
Extremely good: 10	4	44.4%

How could the meeting be more successful to you? (9 responses)

I will give an extra day in Patras to prepare the debate

I think that the whole meeting was very well organised in advanced, and that every activity and visit fulfill my expectations.

I would not know, since everything went according to plan And with general consent.

Everything was perfect!

Some of the beforehand information was altered a bit too quickly. Once the information is online it should only be changed if it can't be avoided.

nothing to change


Perhaps the teachers could have a short discussion as an informal type of evaluation as the meeting was going on so that every possible improvement could take place before the meeting was over

If we had some more activities in the school

I think the organisation was outstanding and everyone (including the teachers) have learned a lot, not only about the topic but also about Greek culture, heritage and habits.

Erasmus phylosophy

Why are you participating with an Erasmus project



I like to travel	7	77.8%
I support the global idea of exchangement	9	100%
I like to make international contacts	6	66.7%
The subject of the meeting interested me	7	77.8%
I want to open my mind about education, so that I can improve my own skills	9	100%